



JOHN T. WINBURN
100 BOSCH BOULEVARD
NEW BERN NC 28562

COPY MAILED

AUG 18 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Bernd SCHESSL et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITIONS
Application No. 10/606,008	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.183 AND
Filed: June 25, 2003	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Attorney Docket No. 2000P13034WOUS	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.183, filed March 20, 2006, requesting waiver of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) as that rule operates in conjunction with 37 CFR 1.17(t), and a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed April 28, 2006, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed PCT Application No. PCT/EP01/14193, filed December 4, 2001, as set forth in the accompanying Application Data Sheet.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.183 is **DISMISSED** as moot in view of the request filed April 28, 2006, withdrawing the petition to waive the surcharge fee requirement of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3). No fee has been assessed to petitioner's deposit account for the petition under 37 CFR 1.183.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is **DISMISSED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:


- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant petition does not comply with item (1) above.

The amendment filed April 28, 2006 claims priority to PCT Application No. PCT/EP01/14193. However, the examiner has indicated that the proposed amendment would not be entered. Therefore, since the amendment does not *prima facie* place the application in condition for allowance, petitioner must now submit a request for continued examination (RCE) under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.114, or file a continuing application pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.53(b).

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be accompanied by a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)" and must include the appropriate reply to continue prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to David Bucci at (571) 272-7099. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.


David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions